

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,114	10/12/2000	Hideo Shibahara	NEKW 17.876	6403
75	90 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman			AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R	
575 Madison Av	venue			
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2871	
			DATE MAILED, 12/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9201_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		09/689,114	SHIBAHARA, HIDEO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with a	the correspondence address	7.a			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set	l. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	October 2004.					
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.					
3)	<u>'</u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)⊡	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl ority documents have been rec	ication No				
* 5	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eived.				
Attachmen	• •	, <b></b>	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/18/2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2, 10, 11 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishimoto et al. (Kishimoto) (U.S.Patent No. 6,281,960) and Murouchi (U.S.Patent No. 6,067,144) and further in view of Kajita et al. (Kajita) (U.S.Patent No. 6,275,280).
  - a. As to claims 1,2 and 15: Kishimoto discloses a liquid crystal display panel (100) and a process for fabricating such panel, comprising a pair of substrate structures (20, 40) having plural pixels (22) where an image is produced, liquid crystal (54) filling a gap between the substrate structures of the pair and selectively making the pixels dark and bright for producing the image, and column spacers (108) formed on one of the substrate structures (40) and held in

Art Unit: 2871

contact with the other of the substrate structures (20), (Figs. 1-7). Kishimoto in (col. 11, lines 23-26) discloses that the pixel size is about 320 X 320 micrometers and the size of the column spacers (108) is about 20 X 30 micrometers (Fig. 7). Hence the ratio of the total contact area between the column spacers and the other of the substrate structures to the total area occupied by the plural pixels being 0.06 % as disclosed by Kishimoto. Kishimoto also discloses a process of fabricating such panel (col. 13 and 14), as recited in claim 15 and the column spacers are respectively associated with the pixels (Fig. 7), as recited in claim 2.

Note that the range for the contact area as disclosed by Kishimoto is larger than the range of about 0.05 % to 0.015 % (asserted in claims 1 and 15). However, the recited range in the instant claim 1 is considered to be within the optimization range. Therefore, the range in claims 1 and 15 would have at least been obvious. See **In re Malagari**, 499 F.2d 197, 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974).

As to the limitation in the amended claims 1 and 15 "at least one of said column spacers being formed between adjacent pixels of said pixels", Kishimoto does teach that the column spacers (polymer walls) 12b and 12b' having different heights are formed at the periphery of corresponding pixels (col. 10, lines 14-17 and 33-34). Kishimoto's pixel area consists of R,G,B sub-pixels. Hence the pixel region is in between the walls 12b' and 12b. Hence being at the periphery of the corresponding pixels as taught by Kishimoto, the column spacers are adjacent to the pixel area. Applicant's arguments on page 12, lines 9-13, appear to agree with the Examiner's earlier reasoning.

Art Unit: 2871

In addition, Murouchi (earlier cited prior art) clearly teaches that the column spacers 4a and 4b are formed between adjacent pixels (3a, 3b and 3c) of the plural pixels.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the Kishimoto LCD device with a ratio as recited in order to enhance the display area by reducing either the number of spacers or by reducing the contact ratio of the spacers to enhance the viewing angle as well as having excellent display quality (col. 5, lines 61-65) and further modify the device using the teachings of Murouchi as to the arrangement of the column spacers between adjacent pixels to provide a rigid liquid crystal display cell with superior productivity and durability (col. 2, lines 13-15).

As to the newly added limitation (dated 08/18/2004): In Fig. 3 Mirouchi clearly shows that the column spacers (4a) which are in contact with the substrates, are formed within a matrix of plural pixels named R,G,B. Since, Fig. 3 is a cross-section of the device, it does not explicitly show the matrix nature of the plural pixels (R,G,B) that are formed by rows and columns. However, in a two-dimensional depiction of Fig. 3 the matrix formation of the plural pixels is inherent.

In case, if the Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's analysis of the matrix of pixels, as explained above, such a matrix formation for the plural pixels is explicitly shown by Kajita. In Fig. 6-8, Kajita teaches column spacers (24)

Art Unit: 2871

formed within a matrix of plural pixels (R,G,B) that are formed by rows and columns.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the Kishimoto and Murouchi's device to maintain a uniform cell gap within the display screen and the display quality is not reduced when subjected to a force or impact from outside or when a change in cell temperature occurs (Kajita, col. 2, lines 10-15).

b. As to claims 10-11: Kishimoto discloses that each of the column spacers (108) is associated with pixels selected from plural pixels (22). However,
Kishimoto does not disclose that the column spacers are classified into two groups one of which is taller than the other.

Murouchi on the other hand, in disclosing LCD cell discloses two supporting members (4 and 5) having column shapes with different heights one being taller than the other (Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the Kishimoto LCD panel with that of Murouchi having column spacers with two different heights in order to reduce the problems due to the width changes identified in the prior art discussion (col. 1, lines 11-67) and provides a rigid liquid crystal display cell with superior productivity and durability (col. 2, lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2871

4. Claims 3-5,12,14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishimoto, Murouchi and Kajita as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Mashiko et al. (Mashiko) (U.S.Patent No. 6,288,766).

- a. As to claims 3-5: Kishimoto discloses additional column spacers (108) formed outside said plural pixels. Murouchi also discloses a sealing layer formed between the pixels and a peripheral area (Col. 5, line 7).
- b. As to claims 12,14 and 16-18: Although Kishimoto discloses a process of fabricating the panel, Kishimoto does not disclose a reservoir, a pressure adjusting means or evacuation of the liquid crystal.

However, Mashiko in disclosing a liquid crystal display device discloses a method of manufacture and a method for injecting the liquid crystal material, pressure adjusting means (Col. 10, line 19) and the alignment and sealing of the two substrates. Mashiko also discloses a reservoir (62) (Col. 1, lines 26-38) and the pressure being from vacuum to .01 and 1-50 torr (Col. 11, lines 57-60) that is less than the atmospheric pressure as recited in claim 14. When1 atmospheric pressure being equal to 110,000 N/m2 and also equals to approximately 760 torr (the applicant is requested to refer to any text book in Physics for these conversion factors), it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to convert the above units to come up with the recited features of 0/01 N/m2 to 6KN/m2 as recited in claims 16 and 17. Since the cell is still being assembled when the pressure is being applied, there is no electrical power and the room temperature operation is disclosed in abstract and elsewhere.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,114 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the method of fabricating the device as disclosed by Mashiko to the display device of Kishimoto and Murouchi to inject the liquid crystal material into the cell in a short time without deforming or damaging the cell while eliminating an occurrence of unwanted deficient injection of the liquid crystal, bubbles and cavitation (Col.3, lines 43-47 of Mashiko).

5. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishimoto, Murouchi and Kajita as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ishikawa et al. (Ishikawa) (U.S.Patent No. 6,414,733).

Kishimoto discloses common electrode (34), Kishimoto does not explicitly disclose switching elements and the connection of these switching elements to the pixel electrodes. Ishikawa on the other hand, in disclosing a liquid crystal display device not only discloses column spacers, switching elements TFT (23), pixel electrodes but also discloses the use of common electrode (22) on one of the substrates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the switching elements, common electrode as disclosed ed by Ishikawa to the LCD disclosed by Kishimoto to enhance the display efficiency and contrast ratio.

6. Claims 7-9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishimoto, Murouchi, Kajita and Ishikawa as applied to claims 6 and 12 above, and further in view of Ogura et al. (Ogura) (U.S.Patent No. 5,739,888).

Art Unit: 2871

Kishimoto and Ishikawa disclose column spacers but not spherical spacers or reinforcement spacers in the sealing layer or the specific relationship between the diameter of the spacer to the thicknesses of the various films.

Ogura discloses a sealing layer (28) spacers (30) and the relationship of the diameter of the spacer to the thicknesses of various films (Col. 6, line 50-65 and col. 9, lines 35-54). Ogura also discloses that the particle diameter of the spacers (11)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the specified thickness relationship as disclosed by Ogura to the display device as recited in instant claims so as to provide a display element which is free from irregularities in luminance in its effective display area and has uniform display quality (Col. 3, lines 32-34 of Ogura).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 571-272-2285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prasad R Akkapeddi, Ph.D Examiner
Art Unit 2871

\*\*\*

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINED